1	KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) United States Attorney		
2	MARK L. KROTOSKI (CASBN 138549) Chief, Criminal Division		
4 5 6 7 8	NATHANAEL M. COUSINS (CASBN 1779) Special Assistant United States Attorney  450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7129 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 Email: nathanael.cousins@usdoj.gov  Attorneys for Plaintiff  UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
12			
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR 06-0666 PJH	
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION and [proposed] ORDER	
15	v. )	EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT	
16 17	PEDRO GRANADOS-VILLA, and ROBERTO CARLOS ESTRELLA-		
18	ARROYO,  Defendants.		
19		EDTO CADA OS ESTREIA A ARRONO (* 1.	
20	The United States and defendant ROBERTO CARLOS ESTRELLA-ARROYO, stipulate		
21	to exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act from November 1, 2006, to January 17, 2007, as		
22	follows:	District Court on the following dates and for the	
23	1. The parties appeared before the District Court on the following dates and for the		
24	following purposes: November 1, 2006 (initial appearance); November 8 (trial setting);		
25	December 6 (trial setting); and December 13 (trial setting).		
26	2. At each of these appearances, defendant ROBERTO CARLOS ESTRELLA-		
27	ARROYO, requested, the government agreed, and the Court approved, an exclusion of time		
28	under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(c)(1), from that date until the date of the next		
	SPEEDY TRIAL ACT STIP. CR 06-0666 PJH		

1	scheduled appearance. In total, the time that the parties a	greed to exclude was from November 1		
2	2 2006, to January 17, 2007. The grounds for exclusion we	ere under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) &		
3	3 (B)(iv). Failure to grant the requested exclusion of time	(B)(iv). Failure to grant the requested exclusion of time would unreasonably deny defense		
4	4 counsel and government counsel reasonable time necessar	counsel and government counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into		
5	account the exercise of due diligence. Furthermore, the parties agree that the ends of justice			
6	served by excluding the period from November 1, 2006, to January 17, 2007, outweigh the best			
7	interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).			
8	8 3. A proposed Order is submitted with this st	3. A proposed Order is submitted with this stipulation.		
9	9 DATED: January 17, 2007 Respectfully	v submitted		
10				
11				
12		el M. Cousins		
13	13 NATHANA	EL M. COUSINS stant United States Attorney		
14		·		
15	/s/ Randy Knox COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT ROBERTO CARLOS ESTRELLA-ARROYO,			
16				
17	17			
18	18			
19	19			
20	20			
21	21			
22	22			
23				
24	24			
25				
26	26			
27	27			
28	28			

SPEEDY TRIAL ACT STIP. CR 06-0666 PJH

1			
2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
7			
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 06-0666 PJH		
9	Plaintiff, ) [p <del>roposed</del> ] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME ) UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT		
10	) UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT v.		
11	PEDRO GRANADOS-VILLA, and ) ROBERTO CARLOS ESTRELLA- )		
12	ARROYO,		
13	Defendants.		
14			
15	Based upon the Stipulation of ROBERTO CARLOS ESTRELLA-ARROYO, and the		
16	United States, and for good cause shown, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED for defendant ROBERTO CARLOS ESTRELLA-ARROYO, only, that time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(c)(1), is excluded from November 1, 2006, to January 17, 2007, under 18 U.S.C. §		
17			
18			
19			
20	3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(iv). The Court finds that the failure to grant this exclusion of time would		
21	unreasonably deny counsel for the defendant and the government the reasonable time necessary		
22	for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by such action outweigh the best interest of the public and		
23			
24	the defendant in a speedy trial.		
25			
26	DATED: 1/19/07 IT IS SO ORDERED		
27	DATED: 1/19/07 IT IS SO ORDER		
28	Uni  Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton  Phyllis J. Hamilton  DISTRICT OF CHAMILTON		
	DISTRICT		